

OPINION 1958

***Macrophya* Dahlbom, 1835 (Insecta, Hymenoptera): conserved by the designation of *Tenthredo montana* Scopoli, 1763 as the type species; and *Tenthredo rustica* Linnaeus, 1758: usage of the specific name conserved by the replacement of the syntypes with a neotype**

Keywords. Nomenclature; taxonomy; Hymenoptera; TENTHREDINIDAE; MACROPHYINI; ARGIDAE; *Macrophya*; *Macrophya montana*; *Arge rustica*; sawflies.

Ruling

- (1) Under the plenary power:
 - (a) all previous fixations of type species for the nominal genus *Macrophya* Dahlbom, 1835 are hereby set aside and *Tenthredo montana* Scopoli, 1763 is designated as the type species;
 - (b) all previous type fixations for the nominal species *Tenthredo rustica* Linnaeus, 1758 are hereby set aside and the female specimen labelled '*Hylotoma atrata* Forst. Schwerin'; 'coll. Konow'; 'Neotype [female] *Tenthredo rustica* Linné, 1758'; and '*Arge rustica* (Linné) [female] det. Blank & Taeger 1999' in the Deutsches Entomologisches Institut, Eberswalde, Germany, is designated as the neotype.
- (2) The name *Macrophya* Dahlbom, 1835 (gender: feminine), type species by designation under the plenary power in (1)(a) above *Tenthredo montana* Scopoli, 1763, is hereby placed on the Official List of Generic Names in Zoology.
- (3) The following names are hereby placed on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology:
 - (a) *montana* Scopoli, 1763, as published in the binomen *Tenthredo montana* (specific name of the type species of *Macrophya* Dahlbom, 1835);
 - (b) *rustica* Linnaeus, 1758, as published in the binomen *Tenthredo rustica* and as defined by the neotype designated in (1)(b) above.

History of Case 3066

An application for the conservation of *Macrophya* Dahlbom, 1835 by the designation of *Tenthredo montana* Scopoli, 1763 as the type species, and of the usage of the specific name of *T. rustica* Linnaeus, 1758 by the replacement of the syntypes with a neotype, was received from Drs Stephan M. Blank and Andreas Taeger (*Deutsches Entomologisches Institut, Eberswalde, Germany*) on 13 August 1997. After correspondence the case was published in BZN 56: 128–133 (June 1999). Notice of the case was sent to appropriate journals.

Decision of the Commission

On 1 March 2000 the members of the Commission were invited to vote on the proposals published in BZN 56: 131. At the close of the voting period on 1 June 2000 the votes were as follows:

Affirmative votes — 21: Bock, Bouchet, Brothers, Cocks, Cogger, Eschmeyer, Heppell, Kerzhner, Kraus, Macpherson, Mahnert, Martins de Souza, Mawatari, Minelli, Papp, Patterson, Ride, Savage, Schuster, Song, Štys

Negative votes — none.

No votes were received from Dupuis and Nielsen.

Lehtinen was on leave of absence.

Dupuis declined to vote on the grounds that less than a year had elapsed since publication of the case. [*Editorial note.* An explanation of procedure followed in sending cases for voting was given in BZN 54: 53–54, March 1997].

Original references

The following are the original references to the names placed on Official Lists by the ruling given in the present Opinion:

Macrophya Dahlbom, 1835, *Conspectus Tenthredinidum, Siricidum et Oryssinorum Scandinaviae, quas Hymenopterorum familias*, pp. 4, 11.

montana, *Tenthredo*, Scopoli, 1763, *Entomologia Carniolica* ..., p. 276.

rustica, *Tenthredo*, Linnaeus, 1758, *Systema Naturae*, Ed. 10, vol. 1, p. 556.